Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/692,879	SUN, CHEAH CHIANG
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William L. Boddie	2629
All Participants:  Status of Application: <u>Appeal Brief had been filed by</u> <u>Applicant</u>		
(1) William L. Boddie.	(3)	
(2) <u>Calvin Ward</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 December 2006</u>	Time: <u>3:45</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Claims 1-6		
Claims discussed: Claims 1 and 2	,	
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Win Rollo		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner asserted that as currently written the claims were broad enough in scope to uphold the rejections of the previous office action. Claim limitations were suggested, by the Examiner, that would bring the case bring the case into condition for allowance. The Applicant's representative with minor alterations accepted the amendments to the claims.